

Some Theorems on Residue classes

Theorem: - The set of all non-zero residue classes modulo a prime number p is a group w.r.t multiplication of residue classes.

Proof: - Let G be a set of all non-zero residue classes modulo a prime integer p so that

$$G = \{[1], [2], [3], \dots, [p-1]\}$$

Also divisors of p are only ± 1 and $\pm p$

To prove that (G, \cdot) is a group.

Let $[a], [b], [c] \in G$ be arbitrary.

Closure Property: $[a] \cdot [b] = [r]$, where r is the least non-negative remainder when ab is divided by p .

$$\text{This } \Rightarrow 0 \leq r < p.$$

$$[a] \text{ and } [b] \in G \Rightarrow 0 < a < p, 0 < b < p$$

\Rightarrow Neither a nor b is divisible by p .

Also p is prime.

$\Rightarrow ab$ is not divisible by p .

\Rightarrow remainder $r \neq 0$ but $0 \leq r < p$.

$\Rightarrow 0 < r < p \Rightarrow [r] \in G \Leftrightarrow [a][b] \in G$.

Associativity: $([a][b])[c] = [a]([b][c])$

For L.H.S = $[ab][c]$ where $(ab)c$ is reduced by p .

= $[(ab)c]$, where $(ab)c$ is reduced by p .

= $[a(bc)]$, For $(ab)c = a(bc)$

= $[a][bc] = [a]([b][c]) = \text{R.H.S}$

Existence of identity: \exists identity element $[1] \in G$.

$$\text{For } [a][1] = [a] = [1][a]$$

Existence of inverse: Every element $[a] \in G$ has its inverse $[a'] \in G$, where $[a][a'] = [a'a] = [a'a] = [1]$

$$\text{This } \Rightarrow aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus every element $[a] \in \mathcal{U}$ has its inverse $[a'] \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\text{Such that } aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

Since $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has a solution x if p is prime then $[a]$ exists.

Thus all the group postulates are satisfied and hence (\mathcal{U}, \cdot) is a group.

Theorem : - Let \mathcal{U} be the set of non-zero residue classes modulo a composite positive integer m , so that

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ [1], [2], [3], [4], \dots, [m-1] \}$$

Proof To prove that (\mathcal{U}, \cdot) is not a group, where (\cdot) denotes multiplication of residue classes.

m is a composite integer $\Rightarrow \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $a < m$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < a < m, 0 < b < m$$

$$\Rightarrow [a], [b] \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Rightarrow [ab] = [m] = [0], \text{ since } m \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

$$\Rightarrow [ab] = [0] \notin \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Rightarrow [a] \cdot [b] = [ab] \notin \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow [a] [b] \notin \mathcal{U}$$

$$\text{Thus } [a], [b] \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow [a] [b] \notin \mathcal{U}$$

This proves that \mathcal{U} is not closed w.r.t

the operation (\cdot) .

Hence (\mathcal{U}, \cdot) is not a group.

Anjani Kumar Singh.